SINGLE BENCH

(A)

CF1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION [S] NO. 7153 /2006

PETITIONER :- Dwarika Das Anant S/o late Kanhaiya Lal Anant, aged about 45 years, R/o Chaklakunda, Tahsil- Navagarh, District Durg [C.G.].

P.F. No. 7225/06
Presented by Shri Sushma Chandel
dated 12/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :-

1] State of Chhattisgarh,
Through- Secretary,
Revenue Department,
D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur [C.G.]

2] Sub divisional Officer [Revenue],
Patan, District Durg [C.G.].

3] Tahsildar, Patan,
District Durg [C.G.].

CERTIFIED COPY
Ekty 12/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(32)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7153 OF 2006

Petitioner : Dwarika Das Anant

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Ms. Sushma Chandel, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate for the State/respondents.

ORDER
(Passed on 21st Day of December, 2006)

The petitioner was working as Patwari since 1983. In the year 2001, a case for an offence punishable under the provisions of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 was registered against him. As a result, the petitioner was placed under suspension by order dated 31-8-2001 (Annexure P-2).

2. The petitioner has impugned the suspension order on the ground that the petitioner is not provided sufficient and proper subsistence allowance. The impugned order dated 31-8-2001 (Annexure P-2) makes it clear that the petitioner would be entitled to subsistence allowance not sufficient allowance. The petitioner is entitled to only subsistence allowance not sufficient or proper allowance

(33)

as prayed for by the petitioner. There is no other ground to challenge the suspension order.

3. The petition is devoid of merit. Thus, the petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal